The Outcome of Corneal Transplantation in Infants, Children and Adolescents
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Abstract

Objective: To examine factors affecting penetrating corneal graft survival and visual outcomes in patients under the age of 20 years.

Design: Large prospective, cohort study.

Participants: Records of 14,865 followed penetrating corneal grafts in 11,929 patients were searched to identify 765 grafts in 640 patients aged less than 20 years of age at time of graft.

Methods: Records submitted to the Australian Corneal Graft Registry by 381 ophthalmic surgeons and 253 follow-up practitioners from May 1985 to June 2009 were analysed using Kaplan-Meier survival plots and Cox proportional hazards regression analysis.

Main Outcomes Measures: Probability of corneal graft survival and Snellen acuity at time of most recent follow-up and at defined intervals post-graft.

Results: Infants (<5 years) exhibited poorer graft survival than children aged 5 to 12 years. Adolescents (13-19 years) exhibited better corneal graft survival than other age groups; 86% of grafts in adolescents were for keratoconus. Factors significantly affecting corneal graft survival in pediatric patients included indication for graft, graft inflammation, history of intraocular surgery, vascularisation, rejection episodes, post graft operative procedures and refractive surgery. Fourteen percent of pediatric grafts failed, of which 65% failed within 2 years post graft. Forty four percent of failures were due to unknown causes (18) or irreversible rejection (30).

Conclusions: Corneal grafts for keratoconus in adolescents show excellent survival. Infants exhibit poor graft survival and visual outcomes, especially those transplanted for Peters’ anomaly. Corneal graft survival and visual outcomes vary more by indication for graft than recipient age. The major reason for graft failure is irreversible rejection. Corneal transplantation improves overall bilateral vision in pediatric patients.

Financial Disclosures (s): The author(s) have no proprietary or commercial interest in any of
the materials discussed in this article.
The frequency of pediatric keratoplasty has increased and its success rate has improved over the past twenty years.\textsuperscript{1,2} Better outcomes have been credited to improvements in postoperative care and developments in corneal microsurgery,\textsuperscript{3} although the results of penetrating keratoplasty in children are still not as good as those reported for adults.\textsuperscript{1,4} The infant cornea is less rigid and is thinner than in adults, making keratoplasty technically more difficult.\textsuperscript{2,5-6} Other factors impacting upon outcomes in children include possible positive vitreous pressure and increased fibrinous reactions after surgery, an increased risk of infection and rejection associated with frequent loosening of sutures, and the inability of young children to communicate the occurrence of post-operative symptoms.\textsuperscript{1,4}

A key factor in predicting the success of penetrating keratoplasty in pediatric patients is indication for graft, which changes with increasing recipient age.\textsuperscript{6} Patients under five years are commonly grafted for a congenital opacity such as Peters’ anomaly, whereas adolescents are more likely to undergo a penetrating keratoplasty for conditions such as keratoconus.\textsuperscript{1,4,7} Geographical location has an impact on the types of indications that are prevalent in a community, with regions such as China and Saudi Arabia showing a higher occurrence of congenital hereditary endothelial dystrophy (CHED) than Australia and New Zealand.\textsuperscript{2-4,8} Generally, older children and adolescents exhibit better graft survival rates than do infants.\textsuperscript{6}

Using data collected within the large, prospective cohort of the Australian Corneal Graft Registry, we investigated independent risk factors affecting graft survival, and visual outcomes in 765 penetrating corneal grafts performed on 640 patients in a pediatric cohort, and analysed variations in outcomes between the very young and the group of adolescents. The Registry approach has the advantage that it describes outcomes obtained in the real world, in a wide variety of practice settings and not solely in an academic environment.
Patients and Methods

Patients

The Australian Corneal Graft Registry, established in May 1985, measures visual outcomes and corneal graft survival for patient undergoing corneal transplantation in Australia. It contains records of penetrating (full-thickness), lamellar (partial thickness) and limbal (epithelial stem cell) corneal grafts, of which 95% are penetrating. The study period for these analyses was May 1985 to June 2009. At the census date, the Register held records of 19,387 penetrating corneal grafts of which 14,865 (77%) had been followed on at least one occasion. The number of follow-ups recorded per graft ranged from 1 to 10 over a 24 year period. Of 11,929 individual patients (as distinct from grafts) with archival follow-up, 2,936 (20%) had had more than one registered graft in the ipsilateral and/or contralateral eye. Of the 957 records for recipients under the age of 20 years at time of graft (identified as the pediatric cohort), 765 (80%) had follow-up data available. The majority of penetrating grafts in pediatric patients 705 (74%) were performed by 20 of the 381 contributing surgeons. Australia has a network of nationally-licensed Eye Banks, and there is essentially no waiting list for corneal transplantation, which is performed at a time convenient for the recipient and the surgeon. Consent for information to be lodged with the register was handled by individual contributors. The host institutional Clinical Research Ethics Committee provided approval for the operations of the Register, which were carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Data Collection

Contributing surgeons submit records to the Registry as soon as possible after performing corneal graft surgery and follow-up is requested at intervals of 12 months until graft failure or until death or loss to follow-up of the patient. Missing data are routinely sought by direct
correspondence with the surgeon. Data verification is inherent in the structure of the database, which contains internal logic checks, but all records, once entered, are independently verified by a second individual against the record provided by the contributing surgeon. All information submitted is amalgamated and de-identified prior to analysis.

**Definition of specified events before and after corneal transplantation**

Information on graft recipient, donor, eye bank, operative procedure, post-operative course and visual acuity was collected as previously described.\(^7,9^{10}\) A history of past inflammation was recorded if the individual was reported to have had such an episode, if the patient had had one or more previous grafts in the same (ipsilateral) eye, if any intraocular surgery had ever been performed on the grafted eye, or if there was a history of the use of topical glucocorticosteroids in that eye in the two weeks immediately preceding the graft. Vessel ingrowth into the cornea at the time of graft was scored on a scale of 0-4, with 0 representing no growth in any quadrant extending to the graft-host junction, 1 being growth in 1 quadrant, 2 being growth in 2 quadrants, 3 being vessel ingrowth in 3 quadrants and 4 being vessel ingrowth in 4 quadrants. No distinction was made between superficial or deep vessels, patent or ghost vessels, or single or multiple vessel leashes. After corneal transplantation, the presence of even one vessel leash extending into the graft was considered enough to classify that graft as vascularized. The intraocular pressure (IOP) was considered to be raised if a reading of 25 mm of mercury or greater was made by applanation tonometry, but the final decision was at the discretion of the ophthalmologist. Presenting diseases, indications for graft (including failed previous graft), post-operative complications and reasons for graft failure were coded using the ICD.9.CM system (US Department of Health and Human Services). Information was collected on both recipient bed size and donor button size, but for the purpose of examining the influence of graft size, the former was used. Primary graft non-
functions were defined as grafts that never thinned and cleared in the immediate post-operative period. The trial time for such grafts was arbitrarily adjusted to one day. Any existing graft that was replaced by another in the same eye, irrespective of graft clarity and for whatever reason, was classified as a failed graft. In all other cases, graft failure was defined as oedema and irremediable loss of clarity in a previously thin, transparent graft. The day of failure was the first day the patient was seen with an oedematous, opaque graft that subsequently failed to thin and clear. Rejection was defined as the development of a rejection line (epithelial or endothelial) or a unilateral anterior chamber reaction with corneal infiltrates and spreading corneal oedema in a previously thin, transparent graft.

Statistical Analysis
Kaplan-Meier survival functions were constructed to provide a graphical record of graft survival, using the log-rank statistic to test significance. Variables were considered statistically significant if p<0.05. Trial time was calculated for surviving grafts as the time between the date of graft and the date at which the patient was last seen. For failed grafts, trial time was calculated as the time between the date of graft and the date of failure. No exclusions were applied. Kaplan-Meier plots were also used to identify the variables of interest to be included in Cox proportional hazards regression analysis. This model was used to investigate the joint effects of a subset of variables on penetrating corneal graft failure in recipients under 20 years of age. In order to control for potential inter-graft and/or inter-eye dependence in this multivariate analysis, the model was adjusted to allow for clustering by individual patient. The best model was found by a non-automatic backward elimination process, removing variables not appearing to be predictors of graft failure. The model excluded variables with p>0.05 (or global p>0.05 for categorical variables) in a stepwise manner, beginning with the removal of the least significant variable in the model and
continuing until all variables met the required significance level. Some variables that were significant in univariate analyses were judged to be collinear, and were omitted from the final model. The first group of each categorical variable was used as the referent. In all other cases, the absence of the variable was the referent. SPSS v15 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA) was used to construct Kaplan-Meier plots and multivariate analysis was performed using Stata version 9 (StatCorp LP, Texas, USA).
**Results**

**Factors Influencing Corneal Graft Survival in Univariate Analysis**

The pediatric cohort was subdivided into infants (<5 years of age at the time of graft), children (aged 5-12 years at graft), and adolescents (aged 13-19 years at graft). Infants fared worse than older children in terms of graft survival (Fig 1). Children aged 5-12 years showed comparable corneal graft survival rates to adults (≥20 years at graft), whereas adolescents displayed better corneal graft survival than did any other age group (Fig 1).

Indications for penetrating keratoplasty in the pediatric cohort and (for comparative purposes) the adult cohort are presented in Table 1. Infants received a corneal graft primarily for Peters’ anomaly (44%) or corneal deformity (21%). The major indications for corneal transplantation in children aged 5-12 years were keratoconus (35%) and corneal scar or opacity (27%). Keratoconus accounted for 86% of grafts in adolescents. Corneal graft survival stratified by indication for graft is shown in Figure 2. Grafts for Peters’ anomaly did not fare well: of 32 grafts in 19 patients, 14 grafts failed. Co-morbidities/conditions that may have influenced graft or visual outcomes in patients with Peters’ anomaly included bilateral corneal transplantation, amblyopia, graft neovascularization and occurrence of graft rejection.

A history of failed previous graft from any cause exerted a significant negative influence on subsequent graft survival (Fig 3A). We investigated the ophthalmic history more closely in those 62 pediatric recipients who had undergone more than one graft in the ipsilateral eye. In 17 instances, the presenting condition was keratoconus, in 11 instances was Peters’ anomaly, and in 6 instances was congenital glaucoma. There were three cases each of bacterial keratitis and failed previous graft (not due to rejection), and two cases each of corneal amyloid, irreversible graft rejection, herpetic keratitis, interstitial keratitis and keratomalacia. The remaining 12 cases comprised one case each of trauma, corneal burn, congenital staphyloma, corneal perforation, endophthalmitis, endothelial cell failure,
Goldenhaars bilateral syndrome, penetrating injury, sclerocornea, corneal ulcer and two of uncertain etiology.

Corneal graft survival was significantly reduced in eyes in which there was corneal neovascularisation prior to graft (Fig 3B), inflammation at graft (Fig 3C), a history of previous surgery (Fig 3D) or post graft operative procedure (Fig 3E), and in grafts that had suffered one or more rejection episodes (Fig 3F). Another significant factor affecting graft survival was graft size. Of the 736 grafts with a host-bed size recorded, 602 (82%) had a diameter between 7.5 mm and 8.5 mm. Corneal grafts performed with a host-bed size in this range exhibited a better survival rate than those that were smaller or larger (p<0.0001). Graft survival was significantly better in phakic than in aphakic or pseudophakic eyes (p<0.0001).

Post-operatively, graft survival was significantly reduced if the graft became vascularised (p<0.0001). However, survival was positively associated with the need for refractive surgery to the graft (p<0.0001). Other factors significantly affecting corneal graft survival in univariate analysis included early suture removal. Suture removal in less than 6 months from the time of transplantation was associated with significantly poorer graft survival than later suture removal (p<0.0001).

Neither cause of donor death (p=0.36) nor donor age stratified into less than 65 years or 65 years and over (Fig 4; p=0.39) exerted any significant effect on corneal graft survival in the pediatric cohort. We next examined the influence of graft era in Kaplan-Meier analysis by stratifying recipients according to the calendar year in which the graft was performed. Irrespective of whether we stratified in three or five year blocks from the Registry's inception to the census date for these analyses, graft survival did not differ significantly amongst the strata: p=0.82 and p=0.56, respectively (data not shown).

**Factors Influencing Corneal Graft Survival in Multivariate Analysis**
Multivariate analysis indicated that seven variables: indication for graft; ocular inflammation at the time of graft; history of past intraocular surgery; corneal vascularization at graft; occurrence of rejection episode; need for operative procedure post-graft; and refractive surgery to the graft, were independent predictors of corneal graft failure in the pediatric cohort (Table 2).

Reasons for Corneal Graft Failure

Reasons for corneal graft failure in the pediatric cohort are shown in Table 3. Half of all grafts performed in infants failed, whereas only 20% of corneal grafts in children aged 5-12 years and 10% of those performed in adolescents failed. Of 11 corneal grafts that failed as a result of corneal endothelial cell failure, 2 had intraocular lenses in place in the grafted eye. Sixty-five percent (71) of grafts that failed did so within the first two post-operative years. Irreversible immunological rejection accounted for 27% of all failures.

Visual Outcomes after Corneal Transplantation

At the time of most recent follow-up, 283 (37%) eyes were corrected with a spectacle lens, 88 (11%) with a contact lens and 37 (5%) eyes had an intraocular lens in situ. No visual correction had been prescribed in 357 (48%) cases. Best-corrected Snellen acuity recorded at last follow-up, stratified by age at graft, is shown in Figure 5. No pre-graft visual acuity was recorded in 46 (59%) infants, 32 (43%) children and 170 (27%) adolescents. Visual acuity was affected by indication for graft, with the majority (75%) of patients grafted for keratoconus displaying better than 20/40 vision at the most recent follow-up, while a large proportion (71%) of patients grafted for any other reason achieved visual outcomes of 20/50 or worse (Fig 6). Adolescents generally achieved excellent acuity in the grafted eye. Amblyopia was recorded in 62 (8%) grafted eyes in the total pediatric cohort, 26 (51%) of
whom were infants. Although its presence did not significantly influence graft survival
(p=0.88), amblyopia had a major influence on visual outcomes: a visual acuity of 20/200 or
worse was achieved by 30 (48%) amblyopic, grafted eyes.

Because of the potential for bias in the use of snapshot visual acuity, measured at the
time of most recent follow-up, we re-examined visual outcomes in the total pediatric cohort
using interval visual acuity. The cohort was stratified into two groups: those with best-
corrected Snellen acuity of 20/40 or better (Fig 7A), and those with best-corrected Snellen
acuity that was poorer than 20/40, or that could not be measured because the recipient was
pre-verbal (Fig 7B). The number and percentage of grafts in each group was then plotted
against interval, specified time zero as at graft, less than one year post-graft, one year post-
graft, and then at further yearly intervals. Only 2 percent of eyes grafted exhibited a pre-graft
Snellen acuity of better than 20/40. By approximately 2 years post graft, the percentage of
grafts with a visual acuity of 20/40 or better reached 70% of those followed and lingered
between 60-80% at each interval. The majority of grafts were performed on eyes with a pre-
graft Snellen acuity of worse than 20/40 (65%). Post graft, the percentage of grafts with a
visual acuity of worse than 20/40 reduced substantially (20-40%). A large proportion (33%)
of grafts had no pre-graft Snellen acuity recorded.

Finally, we examined overall vision in pediatric patients by comparing pre-graft visual
acuity in the operated eye and the contralateral eye against post-graft visual acuity in the
operated eye (Fig 8A). Post-operative Snellen acuity in the contralateral eye was not
recorded. At the time of corneal transplantation, the majority (59%) of pediatric patients with
recorded visual outcomes had a Snellen acuity of 20/40 or better in the contralateral eye. Of
these, 72% presented with 20/200 or worse Snellen acuity in the eye to be grafted. At the time
of most recent follow-up, 46% of eyes in the cohort had achieved 20/40 vision or better in
both eyes (Fig 8B). In thirteen (3%) patients, Snellen acuity was recorded as 20/40 or better in
both the ipsilateral and contralateral eye, immediately before graft. The indication for corneal transplantation was keratoconus in 12 of these eyes, and corneal perforation in the remaining case. In a further two patients, Snellen acuity was recorded as 20/40 or better in the operated eye immediately before graft, and both of these grafts were also performed for keratoconus. Post-operatively, all of these grafts achieved the same or better visual acuity as pre-operatively.
Discussion

A number of groups have reported on the outcomes of penetrating keratoplasty in pediatric recipients (see Vanathi et al for review\textsuperscript{1}). We stratified our cohort into infants, children and adolescents on the basis of convention. Infants received corneal grafts primarily for developmental disorders, adolescents for keratoconus, and the children in the middle of the age range, for a mixture of indications. Our findings are broadly similar to those of others, in that children under 13 years of age exhibited poorer graft survival rates than did adults (p<0.0001).\textsuperscript{1,4} We further found that infants aged under 5 years fared worse than older children, with graft survival at 16 years post-operatively being less than 40% in infants compared to 70% in the 5-12 year age group.

In pediatric recipients as in adults,\textsuperscript{7,9-10} excellent graft survival rates were achieved following penetrating keratoplasty for keratoconus. Over 85% of penetrating grafts performed in adolescents aged 13-19 years were performed for this indication, and 75% of these eyes achieved a best-corrected Snellen acuity of at least 20/40 and exhibited Kaplan-Meier graft survival rates of over 90% at ten years post-operatively. A small number of patients (n=14) with keratoconus and a best-corrected Snellen acuity of 20/40 or better underwent corneal transplantation. All experienced good outcomes.

A high rate of corneal graft failure was noted in infants aged less than 5 years. Developmental disorders may have affected graft survival in this age group.\textsuperscript{2} Almost half of the infants suffered from Peters’ anomaly, a common congenital corneal disorder worldwide,\textsuperscript{1} and almost half of these grafts failed. Similar findings have been reported by others.\textsuperscript{16,17} A proportion of our patients with Peters’ anomaly had co-morbidities that were likely to have influenced graft or visual outcomes. Children aged 5-12 years showed a broad spectrum of indications for graft, reflected in a moderately good graft survival of 70% at 22 years post graft.
The probability of corneal graft survival in pediatric patients, as in all age groups, reduced over time. However, some factors, including the indication for corneal transplantation, inflammation of the eye, history of previous surgery, graft vascularisation, and failed previous graft, affected survival time more than others. The major reason for graft failure in patients under 20 years of age was irreversible rejection. This presented as the predominant reason for failure in infants (29%) and adolescents (27%). However, given the difficulty of diagnosing graft rejection in children, it is possible that some rejections were missed, and that other corneal pathology may have been classified as rejection, when in fact it was not. In children aged 5-12, corneal edema attributed to corneal endothelial cell failure was the major reason for graft failure (33%). Patterns of practice change over time, and we thus examined the influence of era of the surgery on graft survival: no significant influence was apparent, and recent outcomes were no better than outcomes reported from early years of the Registry’s operation.

Univariate and multivariate analyses indicated that the 107 pediatric patients who underwent refractive surgery to the graft (suture adjustment, compression sutures, relaxing incisions, excimer laser procedures) in the post-operative period exhibited better graft survival than the remainder of the cohort. This factor was also noted in a previous study by these authors in a cohort of all penetrating grafts. The likely explanation is that ophthalmologists select pediatric patients with stable grafts and no other risk factors for failure for such procedures. In contrast, more invasive post-operative procedures such as removal of cataract, insertion of an IOL and YAG laser capsulotomy, performed in 110 grafted eyes, exerted a detrimental effect on graft survival: 56% of grafts undergoing these procedures subsequently failed.

Visual outcomes in the pediatric cohort were clearly associated with the indication for graft. Post-graft Snellen acuity was available for only 45% of recipients with Peters’ anomaly
because of the difficulty in assessing visual performance in preverbal children. However, 78% of grafted eyes presented with 20/200 or worse Snellen acuity at the time of most recent follow-up. In contrast, 82% of grafts for keratoconus achieved a post-operative Snellen acuity of 20/40 or better. Although amblyopia did not significantly influence graft survival, it had a major influence on visual outcomes, as seen in other studies. Prior to penetrating keratoplasty, 78% of patients for whom information was available presented with 20/200 or worse best-corrected Snellen acuity (without pinhole) in the pre-grafted eye. Post-operatively, 74% achieved 20/40 or better Snellen acuity in the grafted eye. Although the number of grafts followed reduced over time, the percentage of grafts displaying 20/40 or better Snellen acuity in the grafted eye remained steady at between 60 to 80% up to 15 years post graft. The impact of good bilateral vision on the educational and social future of children of all ages is substantial. Investigation of visual outcomes in both eyes following corneal transplantation in at least one eye showed an improvement in overall bilateral vision: the percentage of patients with initially poor vision overall (less than 20/50 in both eyes) was reduced from 41% to 12% of the cohort.

In conclusion, we assessed graft and visual outcomes in 765 corneal grafts in 640 pediatric patients in a national registry of corneal transplantation. Disease registries are increasingly being used to fill evidence-gaps that may not be amenable to randomised controlled clinical trials. Strengths of the approach include the ability to follow large numbers of patients who have undergone a surgical intervention “in the real world”, and in the longer term. We report, as have others, that penetrating corneal transplants in infants exhibit relatively poor survival and visual outcomes. Almost half of these grafts were performed for Peters’ anomaly. In contrast, corneal grafts in adolescents aged 13-19 years were mostly performed for keratoconus, and graft survival and visual outcomes were excellent. Corneal transplantation in children aged 5-12 is performed for a wide variety of
indications for graft, and outcomes are broadly similar to outcomes in adults. However, overall, improvement in vision following penetrating keratoplasty in the total pediatric cohort is substantial, which suggests that the procedure is of benefit in pediatric patients.
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Table 2. Cox Proportional Hazards Analysis Showing Significant Independent Risk Factors for Corneal Graft Failure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contributing Variable</th>
<th>Hazard Ratio</th>
<th>Standard Error</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>95% Confidence Intervals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indication for graft:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratoconus</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peters’ Anomaly</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>1.32 – 4.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>1.24 – 3.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammation at time of graft</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>1.14 – 3.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous intra-ocular surgery</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>1.02 – 2.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal vascularisation at graft</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>1.21 – 2.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One or more rejection episodes</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>2.40 – 5.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operative procedure post graft</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>2.60 – 6.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractive surgery post graft</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.02 – 0.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Reasons for Corneal Graft Failure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for Graft Failure</th>
<th>0-4 (percent)</th>
<th>5-12 (percent)</th>
<th>13-19 (percent)</th>
<th>Total (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Graft rejection</td>
<td>10 (29%)</td>
<td>4 (27%)</td>
<td>16 (27%)</td>
<td>30 (27%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal endothelial cell failure</td>
<td>3 (9%)</td>
<td>5 (33%)</td>
<td>3 (5%)</td>
<td>11 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graft rupture/injury</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>9 (15%)</td>
<td>9 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>2 (6%)</td>
<td>2 (13%)</td>
<td>4 (6%)</td>
<td>8 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glaucoma</td>
<td>2 (6%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>4 (6%)</td>
<td>6 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary non-function</td>
<td>2 (6%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>3 (5%)</td>
<td>5 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graft neovascularisation</td>
<td>1 (3%)</td>
<td>1 (7%)</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
<td>3 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astigmatism</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>3 (5%)</td>
<td>3 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratoconus</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>2 (3%)</td>
<td>2 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endophthalmitis</td>
<td>1 (3%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
<td>2 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other*</td>
<td>5 (15%)</td>
<td>1 (7%)</td>
<td>7 (11%)</td>
<td>13 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>8 (23%)</td>
<td>2 (13%)</td>
<td>8 (13%)</td>
<td>18 (16%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>34 (100%)</td>
<td>15 (100%)</td>
<td>61 (100%)</td>
<td>110 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Includes hypotony, atrophy of globe, retinal detachment, iridocyclitis, epithelial defect or failure, corneal scar or opacity, phthisis, corneal degeneration, dystrophy or deformity, microphthalmos and Peters’ anomaly