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Abstract

Purpose
The purpose of this paper is to discuss the role of psychosocial treatments to support families living with cardiovascular disease (CVD) and depression. The paper highlights that depression in people with CVD is a predictor of non-adherence to both medicines and cardiovascular rehabilitation programmes. The authors believe there is a clinical need to develop a programme of care to support the whole family to adhere to cardiovascular rehabilitation programmes.

Design/methodology/approach
A team of expert cardiovascular nurses, mental health nurses (MHN) and cardiologist clinical opinions and experiences. These opinions and experiences were supplemented by literature using MEDLINE as the primary database for papers published between December 2000 and December 2013.

Findings
People with CVD who become depressed are more likely to stop taking their medicine and stop working with their health care worker. Most people with heart and mood problems live with their families. Health workers could have a role in supporting families living with heart and mood problems to their care and treatment. The paper has highlighted the importance of working with families living with heart and mood problems to help them to stick with care and treatment.

Originality/value
Most people with heart and mood problems live with their families. The paper has highlighted the importance of working with families living with heart and mood problems to help them to persevere with care and treatment. MHN may have a role, though consideration should also be given to exploring the role of other health care workers and members of the community. As the population ages, clinicians and communities will need to consider the impact of depression on adherence when working with families living with CVD and depression.
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1. Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) and depression are significant global health issues. CVD is the leading cause of death worldwide, with 30 per cent of global deaths being attributed to CVD (World Health Organisation, 2013). CVD or coronary artery disease is a leading cause of death, whereby one Australian dies approximately every 12 minutes from an associated disease (Heart Foundation, 2013). CVD includes diseases such as angina, heart failure and hypertension, but also includes events such as a myocardial infarction (MI) (World Health Organisation, 2010).

Depression is the leading cause of disability, affecting approximately 350 million people worldwide (World Health Organisation, 2012). There is substantial evidence for identifying depressive symptoms in patients with CVD and MI (Colquhoun et al., 2013). Approximately 15-20 per cent of post-MI patients become depressed in the year following the MI event (Colquhoun et al., 2013; Lesperance et al., 1996).

The two conditions appear to be interlinked (Lichtman et al., 2008). The impact of major depressive disorder on CVD is similar to that of smoking and physical inactivity (Ye et al., 2013).

Major depression is a well-established risk factor for developing CVD (Heart Foundation, 2014; Colquhoun et al., 2013). Patients with major depression have been identified as having an increased risk of developing CVD (Carney et al., 1988; Lichtman et al., 2008). People living with depression and CVD will die earlier and have higher levels of disability than people with CVD who do not become depressed (Carney and Freedland, 2003; Frasure-Smith and Lesperance, 2008; Zellweger et al., 2004). This results in greater use of health services with consequently increased costs (Heart Foundation, 2013; Frasure-Smith and Lesperance, 2008).

Our paper discusses the potential benefits of developing family-based treatments for families living with CVD and depression to aid adherence to care and treatment in which mental health nursing (MHN) may have a role.

2. Data sources and scoping review process
As a group of clinical academics in the field of MHN, Cardiac-Vascular Nursing and Cardiology we are interested as to how we can better support people living with CVD and depression. All of us believe that clinicians need to do better in helping people living with CVD and depression to adhere with treatment in particular cardiovascular rehabilitation programmes. As a team of experienced clinical academics we have observed the important role families have in providing ongoing support for people living with long-term conditions. We believe that families play a crucial role in helping people living with CVD and depression to adhere with cardiovascular rehabilitation programmes. We also believe that clinicians could be prepared to work more effectively with families living with CVD and depression to empower them to adhere with cardiovascular treatment regimes. Greater adherence to treatment may improve cardiovascular health, improve depression, increase quality of life and reduce mortality rates. In addition MJ believes MHN or suitably trained mental health clinicians could have a role in supporting other clinicians to practice this approach. These beliefs have informed our meetings, guided our search and helped us to understand the literature. In these meetings we have reflected upon the following:

1. the reasons for high prevalence of depression in people living with CVD;
2. non-adherence in people living with CVD and depression;
3. psychosocial approaches to supporting people living with CVD and depression;
4. working with families living with CVD and depression to adhere to care and treatment;
5. the potential role of MHN in operationalising family interventions for families living with CVD and depression; and
6. future research priorities.

Our beliefs were supplemented by a preliminary search of the literature to help us reflect on how we can support families living with CVD and depression to adhere to treatment.

One of the tasks of our group was to agree on the areas to search. We included studies which reported strategies aimed at improving the health and well-being of people living with CVD and depression. We were interested if papers reported clinically meaningful improvements in depression and cardiovascular health through working with the family living with CVD and depression. We also included papers which described models of care to support practitioners to work with people living
with depression and CVD. The purpose of this exercise was not to conduct a systematic review, but as senior clinicians to assist others to reflect upon new ways of working with a clinical problem which will increase in both severity and prevalence.

We identified studies through a search of the MEDLINE database for papers published between December 2000 and December 2013. The search strategy included a combination of key words and MeSH headings using variations of CVD, Depression, Family Support and Adherence. The initial search yielded 103 papers. MJ reviewed the titles, and then read the abstracts against the inclusion criteria, before selecting the final papers for presentation to the group. We identified 14, consisting of opinion articles, cohort studies, randomised controlled trials and meta-analyses.

See list below for search terms, Table I for inclusion and exclusion criteria and Table II for a list of included articles.

Used search strategies for MEDLINE:
- Families, Cardiac-Vascular Disease, Depression, Adherence; or
- Carers, CVD, Depression, Adherence; or
- Family Support; or
- Family Interventions; or
- Adherence Therapy.

3. Why do people with CVD become depressed?

3.1. Biological mechanisms

We have yet to fully understand the mechanisms that underpin the relationship between CVD and depression. In our practice we have observed that depression and CVD will continue to impact upon one another through the complex interaction between pathophysiological, psychological and psychosocial factors, as illustrated in Figure 1.

In our interpretation of the literature we have noted a number of biological factors associated with CVD appear to be linked with depression which supports our observations from clinical practice.
People with depression may have a lower response to stress (Taylor et al., 2006). This can result in increased inflammation and sympathetic activity impacting upon cardiovascular health (Kunz-Ebrecht et al., 2003). Increased sympathetic activity results in catecholamine release, ischaemic damage and oxidative stress (Adameova et al., 2009). An increase in platelet activation (Musselman et al., 1996), ventricular tachycardia (Carney et al., 1993) and C-reactive protein and other inflammatory markers (Lesperance et al., 2004) have all been associated with depression as a risk factor for CVD (Rugulies, 2002).

3.2. Psychosocial variables

Our experience of working with people with people living with CVD is that a diagnosis of any CVD is a significant life event, inevitably impacting upon patient psychological well-being (Stanton et al., 2007). We have observed in our practice that grief and loss are experienced as the person adapts to living with a long-term condition. A CVD event may induce feelings of grief and loss. Pain is associated with depression and advancing illness may induce feelings of helplessness. Our clinical observations are that these experiences trigger anxiety and stress which may further impact upon the cardiovascular system (Hughes et al., 2004; Taylor et al., 2006). Our clinical observations are supported by the literature. Glozier et al. (2013) conducted a review of psychosocial stressors and CVD. The review identified that psychosocial stressors such as job strain, shift work and social isolation following a CVD event are associated with increased CVD events.

As clinicians, we have observed how chronic illness can interfere with a patient's familiar lifestyle through changes to family, work and social factors. We have seen how status is lost as illness restricts pleasurable activities the patient was once was involved in. Through our experience of working with families we have observed that the dynamics within a family are altered as someone responsible for household tasks may become dependent on others for care. CVD and depression can have substantial economic impacts on families with costs associated with utilisation of emergency and hospital services as well as loss of productivity because of reduced employment prospects (Rodwin et al., 2013). Such losses can affect a patient's functioning and could lead to the onset of depressive symptoms and subsequently poorer health outcomes among patients with CVD (Stanton et al., 2007).
Patients who do not positively adapt to CVD have been shown to have an increased risk of CVD complications as well as developing depressive symptoms (McLaughlin et al., 2005).

A psychosocial factor is a phenomenon involving the interaction of a person's social environment with their psychology and its effects on medical pathology (Hemingway and Marmot, 1999). Smoking and nicotine dependence, for example, has increased prevalence among depressed individuals (Fergusson et al., 2003), which is a known independent risk factor for CVD (Heart Foundation, 2014). Lack of social support and an unmarried marital status have also been directly linked to depression and CVD (Compare et al., 2013). Our interpretation of the literature and clinical experiences has helped us to reflect how health services are organised to support people living with CVD and depression. We have come to the view that the organisation of health services does not support the integration of treatment approaches to manage people suffering from CVD and depression. A psychiatrist who has an expertise in treating depression will not necessarily have the same expertise in treating CVD.

4. Non-adherence in patients with comorbid depression

Our clinical experience of providing care and treatment to people who have struggled to adhere to care and treatment informs us that non-adherence results in poor health outcomes (DiMatteo et al., 2000). Increasing severity of depression can be linked to non-adherence among individuals with the comorbid diseases (Rieckmann et al., 2006; Gehi et al., 2005). We are not surprised that depressed patients are approximately three times less likely than non-depressed patients to be treatment adherent, including medication, dietary advice and health behaviours (DiMatteo et al., 2000; Rieckmann et al., 2006). Depressed patients take medication on fewer days each week (Carney et al., 1995), skip more doses, forget to take the medication or do not take it as prescribed (Gehi et al., 2005). Depression has been linked to significantly reduced adherence to blood pressure lowering (Aggarwal and Mosca, 2010) and aspirin medication regimes in CVD patients (Rieckmann et al., 2006). Treatment adherence can also impact on family dynamics, hopelessness and lack of social support (DiMatteo, 2004). Non-adherence with care and treatment may also exacerbate the effect of depression on CVD (Gehi et al., 2005). We have taken the view that the cycle of treatment non-
adherence in CVD and depression could be addressed by working with underlying psychosocial and clinical factors (DiMatteo et al., 2000).

5. Psychosocial approaches to supporting people living with CVD and depression

Our clinical observations are that when psychological care is provided to people living with CVD and depression, then the patients' health and well-being tends to improve. Reid et al., (2013) in a systematic review of the effectiveness of psychosocial interventions for families living with CVD and depression identified a number of health-related areas such as improved quality of life, reduction in blood pressure and satisfaction with the care experience. However, there was no impact on mortality, morbidity or other cardiovascular risk factors.

Promising evidence has emerged regarding the application of psychosocial treatment approaches to supporting patients with CVD and depression. The Improving Mood Promoting Access to Collaborative Care Treatment (IMPACT) collaborative care model has been established as an effective means of delivering care for patients with depression (Hunkeler et al., 2006). Collaborative care involves multiple components which monitor chronic disease and associated risk factors (Morgan et al., 2009). This model involves a team of health professionals in the service delivery, including a depression care manager, the patient's primary general practitioner (GP), a consulting psychiatrist, as well as a consulting GP. This approach saw significant improvements for patients in treatment adherence, depressive symptoms, physical functioning and quality of life (Morgan et al., 2013). The TrueBlue study built on IMPACT to apply the collaborative care model for patients with comorbid depression and chronic disease such as diabetes or heart disease (Morgan et al., 2013). This study utilised practice nurses in general practice settings to assist in screening and monitoring physiological, mental health and lifestyle risks associated with these particular chronic diseases. This study provides an opportunity to modify the intervention for those living with depression and CVD. However, the research focused on the individual patient rather than working with the whole family and has not used approaches which have helped people to adhere to care and treatment.

We identified two randomised controlled trials which adopted a telephone coaching technique to help patients with CVD to take control of their care and treatment (Vale et al., 2002, 2003). The aim of the
coaching intervention was to increase adherence to drug therapy and diet. The primary outcome measure was fasting serum total cholesterol (TC), serum triglyceride, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and calculated low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C). After six months, the patients in the coaching arm of the study had significantly lower mean TC and LDL-C levels.

Cognitive Behavioural Therapy (CBT) may be a successful intervention for helping people who live with a long-term condition to adhere to treatment and care. We identified a cohort study which improved treatment adherence in depressed patients with other chronic diseases using the principles of CBT (Cukor et al., 2014).

6. Working with families living with CVD and depression

We would take the view that a programme of care should have a strong focus on enabling families to take control of their treatment. This should focus upon, supporting the family to develop their problem skills, reflect upon previous experiences and make active plans for the future in the area of their care and treatment. The inclusion of family members in helping patients to adhere to care and treatment for depression and CVD is in our clinical experience an area in which clinicians should consider developing. Helping patients cope with and adjust to the challenges of living with a long-term condition can have positive impacts on emotional and physical health (Karademas and Hondronikola, 2010). Reid et al.’s (2013) systematic review has argued that there is a need to conduct research which may demonstrate the effectiveness of working with families. Ski and Thompson (2011) add to this, outlining that psychosocial intervention should be integrated across the different care pathways.

Pharaoh et al. (2012) in a meta-analysis identified that working with families living with schizophrenia may reduce the risk of relapse and help adherence with medication. The core principles of an effective family approach are ongoing contact with a health care professional, usually of three months to a year. The family is provided with an opportunity to have at least ten sessions with a suitably trained practitioner. Each session focuses upon information about the condition and treatment options, which includes developing problem solving skills and what to do in a crisis. In the UK guidelines for working with families living with serious mental illness (SMI) have been incorporated into national guidelines to inform mental health care services (National Institute of Clinical Excellence,
We believe the principles underpinning family work with people with SMI could be applied to depressed individuals and families living with CVD. In a systematic review of the effectiveness of working with families living with stroke and depression, a number of commonalities with the schizophrenia literature were identified. The studies which adopted the principles of working with families living with schizophrenia demonstrated clinically meaningful improvements (Vallury et al., 2015).

No research has evaluated the impact of working with families living with CVD and depression in supporting adherence to treatment.

7. Should MHN have a role in operationalising family interventions for families living with CVD and depression?

MHN in the UK has played a strong and purposeful role in preparing mental health professionals to work purposefully with families living with SMI (Brooker, 2001). Consequently large numbers of MHN in the UK have been prepared to work with families living with SMI. In addition, initiatives to implement evidence-based psychosocial interventions have demonstrated worthwhile improvements for both staff and patients (Brown et al., 2013). It is for these reasons that one member of the team (MJ) believes MHN may have a role in developing short and concise training programmes in this area to support other health care professionals. These would prepare professionals to combine psychosocial interventions with physical health care into an integrated care pathway. In addition MHN could provide supervision and support and assist services regarding the required structures needed to sustain changes in clinical practice. MHN is arguably the professional group that has had the greatest exposure to adherence training programmes in conditions such as schizophrenia. They may have a role in helping families to adhere to complex treatment regimens. Other members of the team have expressed a degree of caution and believe that MHN may not necessarily be the best fit for this role. All of the team are of the view that further discussion is required as to whether other health care workers or people with lived experience or volunteers could have a role in supporting families living with CVD and depression.

8. Limitation of conceptual paper and future research priorities
We hold largely positive views about the benefits of working with families living with CVD and depression to help them to adhere with cardiovascular rehabilitation programmes. This may have introduced a degree of bias into our interpretation of the literature. In addition only a relatively small number of papers reported clinically meaningful in patients' health and well-being. Thus our conclusions for further research are based on our clinical opinions with limited support from the literature we searched. So where does this leave us regarding future research priorities in this area? We would argue that as a priority a systematic review is required to help us understand the impact of family interventions on the outcomes for people with CVD and depression, and their family. It would help us to understand which characteristics of the intervention are effective and their suitability for clinical practice, to understand future workforce training needs and to plan future research priorities. Ultimately any model which emerges will require a workforce able to implement it. High professional self-esteem and positive attitudes about therapeutic impact are generally recognised as a key ingredient in sustaining changes in the work environment (Jones et al., 2008; Jones and Lancashire, 2009). Studies evaluating staff attitudes to recovery from CVD and depression may help health providers understand what strategies need to be put in place to prepare staff to practise in this area.

A programme of research would require extensive consultation both with families living with CVD and depression and the health care workers. This would help us to understand the experiences of families and how we could get better at working with the whole family. As experienced clinicians we would argue that research is required to help us understand the potential barriers to working with families and to find solutions to overcome the barriers in the practice settings. This may include the need to ensure that support structures are in place to reduce the potential negative impact of working with the family on workplace morale. We would then be able to evaluate the impact of enabling families living with depression and CVD to adhere to care and treatment and consequent improvements in both mental and physical health.

9. Conclusion
CVD and major depressive disorder are highly prevalent, with both mentioned in the top three leading causes of morbidity and mortality (Begg et al., 2008). Depression is a risk factor for the development and exacerbation of CVD and vice versa (Heart Foundation, 2014; Lesperance et al., 1996). The
association between the two diseases is complex. Living with a long-term condition and depression predicts non-adherence (DiMatteo et al., 2000). A family-based intervention aimed at supporting the family could lead to increased adherence and ultimately more positive outcomes. MHN may have both a clinical leadership and research role in this process in supporting other health care professionals to better support families living with CVD and depression. Depression and CVD will become an increasingly common clinical problem as our population ages. Clinicians will need to increasingly focus on the impact of depression on adherence for people living with CVD. At the same time, there are exciting opportunities to develop models of care to support families that may alleviate the distress and disability associated with living with CVD and depression.
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Figure 1 The vicious cycle of depression and cardiovascular disease
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