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Gian Vincenzo Gravina, distinguished jurisconsult, energetic reformer, particularly in the field of Dante scholarship, and founding member of the literary movement Arcadia, is vividly remembered for his part in the 1711 schism within the Society. For twenty-one years Gravina had been an active participant and organiser within the fraternity whose original intention had been that of serving as a point of reference ("uno sforzo di organizzazione culturale su scala nazionale") for scholars throughout the peninsula. During that time, Gravina had helped organize and administer the activities of the Accademia and her eight colonie. However, the "semplice conversazion letteraria" had eventually given way to ceremonies and the conferring of titoli, activities that diminished opportunities for effective intellectual exchange. Gravina, who had been responsible for the presentation of the Society's ten rules in May 1696, had begun to feel that the ceremonies and the hierarchical structure of the Ragunanza were detracting from the initial literary aims of her founding members. Moreover, the attitudes of many Italian scholars were being influenced by the legacy of the seventeenth century writers, whom Croce targets as "i primi a considerare frigida e antiquata l'anteriore letteratura italiana; nel modo medesimo che, in quel tempo, vennero spregiati e disfatti molti monumenti dell'arte medievale e soffocati sotto la nuova decorazione barocca".

Gravina's growing impatience with the attitudes of his comrades in the Ragunanza arose from his perception of their preoccupation with civility and superficial ritual rather than the promotion of incisive critical discussion. Evidence of his concern for Arcadia's state of deterioration is documented in his letter to Scipione Maffei, first published in Naples in 1711 by Felice Mosca, in which Gravina defended the poet Alessandro Guidi. The sentiments expressed in this missive were a leading factor in the subsequent quarrel among members of the Society:
Era in sul principio l'Arcadia né repubblica né regno, come la vecchia ragunanza è divenuta, ma semplice conversazione letteraria: alla quale perché spesso s'accompagnano merende e cene, Arcadia fu secondo il comune idiosìsmo appellata; e per divertimento della brigata ministri furon costituiti, i quali riceveranno con serietà quei riti e titoli da mascherate che per burla s'introducono e trattassero l'ombra come cosa salda. Crebbe poi il numero, ed oltre i semidotti convennero anche alcuni pochi di soda e scelta letteratura; ma fu in quella conversazione chi contra me prese adesino; e sopra tutto per la lode che io dava al signor Alessandro Guidi, che il primo nella lirica, senza interpolare il Petrarca, si è saputo dalla corruetela dello stilo moderno liberare.\(^3\)

Eventually in 1714 *La Seconda Arcadia* was founded (later to become *l'Accademia dei Quirini*), but Gravina's dissatisfaction with the critical precepts of his fellow scholars continued and eventually found expression in the formulation of a methodological approach to literary activities. It is this attempt to formalise and prioritize research tasks that makes memorable Gravina's contribution to eighteenth century scholarship.

Gravina's quarrel with fellow-members of the *Accademia* stemmed from his rejection of Arcadian literary models and his belief in the need to promote the great works of the past. In his estimation, the Settecento needed a new approach to study based on a curriculum of the classics enriched by centuries of tradition. He hoped to discourage contemporary styles that emulated the Baroque tradition, and to revive in his fellow scholars an appreciation for the great writers of the past such as Dante, Petrarch and Boccaccio:

Dante, Petrarcha, Boccaccio, Ariosto, Bembo, Casa, Sannazzaro ed altri, lungo tempo nella grecia e latina lingua esercitati, i quali, togliendo a scrivere nella volgare favella, hanno in casa trasportati i più bei fiori che nel materno seno della greca e della latina raccolsero.\(^4\)

An openness to all areas of learning and the promotion of serious scholarship, that is the study and glossing of old texts and manuscripts rather than the slavish imitation of them, was the basis for Gravina's new approach in the areas of both critical methodology and creative writing. To this end he set out a programme of reform for scholars and writers, in an attempt to discourage both the placid acceptance of the seventeenth century's critical precepts, as well as the imitative versifying of the eighteenth century poets who continued to cling to Baroque traditions and styles. Indeed, Gravina's insistence on a methodology of scholarly research that fostered familiarity with the primary texts of writers in order to stimulate original, creative thought and expression was a laudable aim. He asserted that modern-day writers and scholars should familiarize themselves with the works of the great writers of the past, whether Homer, Virgil or Dante, and that the texts themselves should form the basis for discussion, rather than the rituals that had been built up around them.

Gravina also held the view that modern writers would benefit from the close study of authors living and working at different stages of Western history and that this knowledge would encourage them to re-evaluate their own literary endeavours. In particular, he believed that the study of Dante's *Commedia* was an essential prerequisite for burgeoning writers in the Settecento. As Cambini observes, Gravina recommends the close study of the poet's text:

<lo studio, più che l'imitazione, dell'Alighieri: così come propugna lo studio e non l'imitazione, dei classici Greci, dei Latinii, del Petrarca. Studio, non imitazione: la conoscenza diretta del poema dantesco deve formare l'anima dei nuovi poeti, deve irrobustirne la fantasia, deve dare solidità e saldezza di rilievo alle loro figure.\(^5\)

Gravina was sensitive to the creative vision behind Dante's poetic enterprise and, like Dante, he believed in the reforming power of the writer. This can be seen in Gravina's own critical works which attest to his belief in the potential within each individual to effect positive reform through the rekindling of moral, social and creative tensions. Furthermore, he recognized the power and authority of Dante's vision in the *Commedia* and the magnitude of the poem's allegorical, theological and philosophical unity. Aldo Vallone states:

È indubbio che col Gravina [...] si promuove una nuova intelligenza della *Commedia* o meglio ancora un richiamo più consapevole e razionalmente esatto del valore di quella poesia.\(^6\)
Gravina was keen to demonstrate how Dante's various works displayed his talents as teacher, philosopher, theologian, political and social reformer - all aspects of Dante's prime commitment as a reforming poet with a unique message. Gravina's familiarity with the text of the *Commedia* and with the vicissitudes of that time enabled him to appreciate the breadth of Dante's achievement. In this he showed little of the "timidezza" towards the works of the past which Fubini identifies in the attitudes of the Settecento Arcadian critics:

Vero è che un'esperienza troppo ristretta di poesia e, vorremmo dire, di vita, non concedette ai critici dell'Arcadia di svilupparsi adeguatamente le loro premesse: timidi dinanzi alla poesia di Omero e di Dante, essi furono portati a confondere, nel loro proposito di restaurazione classica, poeti originali e imitatori anche mediocri, valori poetici autentici e valori letterari.

Unlike many of his contemporaries, Gravina was keen to explore the creative workings of the poetic imagination. He was unique among his contemporaries for his unwavering belief in the ability of the creative artist to fuse the powers of reason and *fantasia* in order to express truths about the interior world of the imagination and the exterior physical domain. In his analysis of the *vero poético*, that is the creative urge of the poet, he concluded that the poetic text could be considered a documentation or "history" of the poet's creative impulse. Poetic expression was therefore to be considered an active manifestation of the faculty of reason and the workings of the intellect.

In a closer comparison of Gravina's outlook to that of some of his contemporaries, notable differences emerge. For example, the Milanese Jesuit Tommaso Ceva in his *Memorie di alcune virtù del conte Francesco De Leme ne con alcune riflessioni su le poesie regarded poetry, especially lyric poetry, as an element of *fantasia* that belonged to the realm of dreams: "può quasi chiamarsi un sogno". At the same time, the prolific and learned Ludovico Muratori, of whom Baretti declared that "non poteva vivere se non s'inchiostrova le dita ogni di che Dio gli mandava", was of the opinion that the chief ingredient required for poetry was *giudizio*, with an admixture of *ingegno* and *fantasia*:

Aggiungasi all'ingegno, e alla fantasia, il giudizio, che è la potenza maestra,

e siede come ajo delle altre due; ed allora avremo tutta la perfezione delle parti richieste per divenir gran Poeta.10

Gravina came closer to grasping Dante's achievement because he was aware of the close relationship between imagination and the intellect. He rejected the view that the poetic imagination functioned purely within a sensory domain. For Gravina, the artist's intellect and imaginative spirit were inextricably bound and required mutual stimulus. According to Carlo Calcaterra, Gravina "penso che l'incanto della fantasia, la quale rapisce con la rappresentazione viva e verissimile del falso apparente e del finto, avvenga entro la mente stessa".11

A further comparison may be made with Giovan Mario Crescimbeni, best remembered for his office as the first *Custode Generale* of St. Arcadia. Crescimbeni championed the dictates of the eighteenth century's "fashionable" verse and his belief in the potential of the modern-day writers set him on a collision course with the indomitable Gravina. In his scathing *Risuola Letteraria*, Giuseppe Baretti took great delight in giving his version of the falling-out between Allesibão Carlo (Crescimbeni) and Opico Erimante (Gravina).12 In brief terms, while Gravina was well disposed to ingenuity and all-encompassing vision, Crescimbeni preferred to adhere to the dictates of decorum and *buon gusto*: "la Poesia [...] à per oggetto la bellezza".13 In such a climate, Dante's metrical structure was deemed rigid and his *stile aspro* abhorrent to finely-moulded Arcadian tastes:

non poco mi maraviglio, che Dante, e gli altri antiqui di risgurdo, i quali molto ben sapevano il fine della Poesia (ammetendo la rozza della locuzione, perciocché in quel tempo la Lingua Toscana era bambina) affettassero de chiuder sotto si oscure, e difficili macine si nobili sentimenti.14

Crescimbeni and Gravina were never able to resolve their differences fully, and Crescimbeni continued to regard the modern-day authors as comparable, if not superior, to the classical writers. However, the controversy had its positive side because it obliged members of the Society to formulate viewpoints and discuss them openly, and, undeniably, the influence of both men helped shape the outcome of the Settecento's literary course.15
In Gravina's view, Dante's synthesising capacity was developed to an extraordinary degree, as evidenced by his comments in Book II of *Della ragion poetica* where he discussed Dante's imaginative cohesion and vision:

la poesia è la scienza delle umane e divine cose convertita in immagine fantastica ed armoniosa. La qual'immagine noi soprat'ogn'altr poema italiano ravvisiamo vivamente nella divina Commedia di Dante, il quale s'inalzò al sommo dell'esprimere, ed alla maggior vivezza pervenne, perché più largamente e più profondamente d'ogn'altra nella nostra lingua concepiva: essendo la locuzione immagine dell'intelligenza, da cui il favellare trae la forza e il calore. E giunse egli a sì alto segno d'intendere e proferire, perché dedusse la sua scienza dalla cognizione delle cose divine, in cui le naturali e le umane e civili come in terzo cristallo riflettono.\(^\text{16}\)

He considered Dante's epic poem as both the science of an individual's quest for self-awareness and a history of moral and political questions and also maintained that Dante's poem was a work to benefit future generations of Christian men and women. Gravina believed that knowledge brought individuals in closer communion with God, the All-Knowing, Dante's *Primo Vero*, and that it should be the duty of all Christians to promote learning and instruction: "Onde chi più cresce di cognizione, cresce anche di mente e fatti più presso a Dio, il quale è una mente universale ed infinita".\(^\text{17}\)

Gravina's credo was the belief in the power of each individual to discover his/her strengths and limitations without conforming to the dictates imposed by others. For this reason, he encouraged the development of a literary style that reflected the erudition and commitment of the writer. In Luciana Martinelli's view, Gravina's critical work demonstrates "l'aspirazione a un'arte teoricamente e umanamente impegnata".\(^\text{18}\) Whilst this is certainly one aspect of his work, Gravina also celebrated originality and variety as positive aspects of the creative spirit and felt that a zenith had been reached with the Florentine poet:

Largamente ancora spiegò le plume del suo ingegno Dante, il quale felicemente ardi di sollevare le forze del suo spirito all'alto disegno di 'descrivere fondo a tutto l'universo', sicché in un'opera, non solamente le umane e le civili cose, ma le divine e le spirituali mirabilmente com-prese.\(^\text{19}\)

Gravina's evaluation of the *Commedia* offered fresh scope for future indepth critical work and his specific comments on linguistic invention were a spur to young writers. He considered, for example, that in formulating strategies to meet the needs of his poetic vision, Dante used invention to its fullest potential. Both in his use of metrics, "trami-schiando in mezzo di due rime una nuova, ed interrompendole con quella, per fuggire la fazietà: com'egli ha fatto il primo con le terzine",\(^\text{20}\) and through the employment of a rich vocabulary, the poet transformed the inner world of imagination and dreams into the document of a multifarious reality:

Dante volle le parole alle cose sottoporre, e queste quantunque minime si studiò co' propri lor vocaboli d'esprimere, quando la ragione e la necessità ed il fine suo il richiedea: donde il suo poema divenne per tutte le grandi, mediocri e piccole idee, di locuzioni tanto figurate, quanto proprie abbondante e fecondo.\(^\text{21}\)

In Gravina's consideration of the evolution and enrichment of the Italian language, Dante's contribution outweighed that of other *Trecento* poets, and he depicted the Florentine exile as a poet of ingenuity fashioning his *cantiere* from the raw poetic materials available to him. Innovative in characterisation, style and metrical structure, Dante was viewed as a precursor to the later poetic development of writers such as Petrarca, Ariosto and Tasso.

Seeing Dante as a forerunner of Italian poetic language led Gravina to a cultural and linguistic comparison between Dante and Homer. Both poets were identified as inaugurating significant linguistic developments during their respective careers. In Homer, Gravina identified a more "open" style that made for ready comprehension, whereas Dante was seen as consolidating his material into a more compact structure:

Dante [e] simile ad Omero con la vivezza della rappresentazione, si è reso però dissimile collo stile suo contorto, acuto e penetrante, quando l'omero è aperto ed ondeggiante e spazioso.\(^\text{22}\)

By placing his research within an historical context, Gravina facilitated the possibility of an overall synthesis. As *padri* to new poetic languages,
Dante and Homer anticipated the increasingly polished approach of successive writers and Gravina considered both Homer and Dante worthy craftsmen whose example would be beneficial to contemporary scholars. Furthermore, he reflected on the possible benefits that other Trecento poets might have enjoyed if they had studied Dante’s example more closely and developed his linguistic base-work:

Poiché essendo la lingua prole ed immagine della mente, e nunzia degli umani concetti, quanto più largamente in concetto si distende, più la lingua liberamente cresce ed abbonda. Onde perché Dante abbracciò tutta l’università delle cose tanto in generale, quanto in particolare, tanto scientifiche, quanto comuni, fu costretto a pigliar parole dalla matrice lingua latina, e da altri più asossi fonti; le quali si sarebbero rese comuni e piacevoli col l’uso domar del parole, se il Petrarcha e ’l Boccaccio avessero preso a volgarmente scrivere di cose alla grandezza del loro ingegno, ed alla Dantesca materia somiglianti.23

Gravina firmly believed that the complex structural and thematic components of the Commedia had a place in the Settecento’s portfolio of Rationality and Good Taste. He viewed the work as an integrated and harmonious whole and one that reflected the poet’s responsibility to his linguistic art, as Dante himself observed:

ché non è impressa da pigliare a gabbo
discriver fonda a tutta l’universo,
nè da lingua che chiama mamma o babbo (Inferno XXXII, 7-9)

Through his critical writings, Gravina sought to promote a flexibility in outlook among scholars and writers of his age and for this reason he could not stress enough the importance of the continuity of tradition. This was in order to enhance creative energy in young writers, since, in his estimation, the recognition of the validity of past literary achievements did not equate with the servile imitation of them. In his work, Gravina exhibited the traits of an aggressive, discriminating personality who wished to discover for himself the virtues or improprieties of the work in question. He rejected the exclusive cultivation of bellezza and buon gusto, and actively participated in critical debates, defending his opinions and commending the value of self-criticism.

In the surrounding climate of self-congratulatory effusiveness, Gravina rejected the efforts of the glib-tongued poeti facili and reserved his praise for creative and original contributions. The eighteenth century critic opened the door to the great works of the past in the hope of initiating change in his own era. While Gravina’s observations on Dante served as a focal point for a broadening of critical vistas in the Settecento, his positive attitude towards learning and his practical approach to critical methodology ably demonstrated that he was a scholar in tune with the needs of his time.
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